Title of Report: Personal Relationships at Work

Report to be considered by:

Personnel

Date of Meeting: 28th May 2014

Forward Plan Ref: PC2811

Purpose of Report: To propose the adoption of a policy which sets out how the

Council will deal with close personal relationships at work which might involve, or be perceived to involve, conflicts of

interest.

Recommended Action: To recommend that the policy at appendix A is submitted to

Personnel Committee for approval

Reason for decision to be

taken:

To protect employees and the Council from allegations of conflict of interest arising from close personal relationships

between colleagues or with contractors or suppliers

Other options considered: Not to have a policy

Key background documentation:

Code of Conduct for Officers (Constitution 13.5)

The proposals contained in this report will help to achieve the above Council Strategy priorities and principles by:

Ensuring that employees are aware of the potential for conflict of interest and are not put in a position where they could be perceived as having a conflict of interest, as a result of close personal relationships at work

Portfolio Member Details				
Name & Telephone No.:	Councillor Alan Law - Tel (01491) 873614			
E-mail Address:	alaw@westberks.gov.uk			
Date Portfolio Member agreed report:	Jan 2014			

Contact Officer Details	
Name:	Jane Milone
Job Title:	HR Manager - Policy, Strategy and Information
Tel. No.:	01635 519238
E-mail Address:	jmilone@westberks.gov.uk

Implications

Policy:	This is a nev	w HR policy						
Financial:	none							
Personnel:	This is a new HR policy							
Legal/Procurement:	none							
Property:	none							
Risk Management:	none							
Is this item relevant	to equality?	Please tick relevan	nt boxes	Yes	No			
Does the policy affect service users, employees or the wider community and:								
 Is it likely to affect people with particular protected characteristics differently? 								
 Is it a major policy, significantly affecting how functions are delivered? 								
Will the policy have a significant impact on how other organisations operate in terms of equality?								
Does the policy relate to functions that engagement has identified as being important to people with particular protected characteristics?								
Does the policy relationships	ate to an area	a with known inequalities?						
Outcome (Where one or more 'Yes' boxes are ticked, the item is relevant to equality)								
Relevant to equality - Complete an EIA available at www.westberks.gov.uk/eia Not relevant to equality				<u>k/eia</u>				
Not relevant to equalit	у							
Is this item subject to	o call-in?	Yes: 🗵	1	No:				
If not subject to call-in please put a cross in the appropriate box:								
The item is due to be referred to Council for final approval								
Delays in implementation could have serious financial implications for the Council								
Delays in implementation could compromise the Council's position								
Considered or reviewed by Overview and Scrutiny Management Commission or associated Task Groups within preceding six months								
Item is Urgent Key De	•	ceaing six months						
Report is to note only	OISIOIT							

Executive Summary and Report

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Corporate Board initially asked for a new Personal Relationships at Work Policy to be drafted in Spring 2013. After considering and rejecting the first draft, and suggesting alternative ways of addressing the issues, in Autumn 2013 Corporate Board asked HR to revise the original draft to take account of specific concerns. This was presented to Corporate Board in December 2013 and Management Board in January 2014. Delays in receiving a consultation response from the unions prevented it coming to Personnel Committee in February 2014.
- 1.2 Corporate Board wanted to ensure a balanced policy that enables employees to be clear about the potential impact of close personal relationships at work, and the steps they should take to ensure that relevant relationships were disclosed. It needed to provide managers with mechanisms for minimising the impact at work. The aim was to protect the Council and its employees from actual or perceived conflict of interest, or perception or accusation of bias, favouritism or prejudice. They were keen to include close personal friendships in the definition of close personal relationships' for particular areas, such as relationships with contractors or involvement in selection panels.
- 1.3 A balance has to be struck between intrusion into the personal lives of employees and the organisation's ability to address justified concerns. The trade unions were particularly concerned to ensure that this balance was achieved, and their comments have been taken into account in the final draft. They have recommended that guidance is provided, with examples, to help managers make fair and reasonable decisions.
- 1.4 The draft policy at appendix A is based on policies adopted by other local authorities, the Council's own Officer Code of Conduct (set out in 13.5 of the Constitution), and guidance from our on-line employment law provider (XpertHR).

2. Proposals

2.1 Personnel Committee is asked to approve this policy.

3. Equalities Impact Assessment Outcomes

3.1 The policy has been drafted to ensure that it complies with relevant equality legislation. It specifically includes civil partnerships and same-sex relationships, and notes that it applies equally to all employees whatever their seniority, gender or sexual orientation.

4. Conclusion

- 4.1 The Council should have a clear statement of its policy on the management of close personal relationships at work;
 - (1) To put mechanisms in place to prevent issues arising;
 - (2) To provide a transparent way of tackling any concerns that do arise; and

(3) To ensure that employees have a clear understanding of the expectations on them with regard to behaviour and disclosure of relationships.

Appendices

Appendix A - Draft Personal Relationships at Work Policy May 2014

Consultees

Local Stakeholders: n/a **Officers Consulted:** CMT

Trade Union: Rosemary Culmer, Dave Pearson, Eddie Hunter